



ISSN: 2350-0328

**International Journal of Advanced Research in Science,
Engineering and Technology**

Vol. 9, Issue 4 , April 2022

Common Fixed Points in Hilbert Spaces

DEEPTI SHARMA

Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Ujjain Engg. College, Ujjain (M.P.) 456010, India.

ABSTRACT: The results proved in this paper regarding common fixed point theorems using concepts of compatibility, weak compatibility and commutativity improve the results of Imdad and Ali [4] and Gupta and Badshah [3] in Hilbert space. Our main results are useful and are different in comparison to previously proven results in the theory of fixed points.

AMS (2000) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 54H25, 47H10.

KEYWORDS: Common fixed point, Hilbert space, closed subset, compatible mappings and weakly compatible mappings.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the literature of fixed-point theory, vast amount of fixed point theorems were proved satisfying certain contractive type conditions. The notion of generalized contraction mappings was introduced by Cirić [1]. Das and Gupta [2] introduced fixed point theorems in reflexive Banach spaces. Most of the fixed point theorems in metric spaces satisfying contraction conditions may be extended to the abstract spaces such as Hilbert spaces, Banach spaces and locally convex spaces etc. Rhoades [9, 10] provided comprehensive survey on various definitions of contractive mappings.

Jungck [5] proved common fixed point theorem for commuting mappings which generalized the Banach's fixed point theorem. Sessa [11] generalized the notion of commuting mappings by defining weakly commuting mappings. Jungck [6] generalized the concept of weakly commuting mappings by defining compatible maps which asserts that a pair of self-maps (S, T) is said to be compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$, for some $t \in X$. Later on, Jungck [7] generalized the notion of compatibility by introducing the concept of weak compatibility which asserts that S and T are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points that is if $Su = Tu$ for some $u \in X$, then $STu = TSu$. A pair of compatible maps is weakly compatible but the converse is not true in general.

Imdad and Ali [4] observed that the fixed point theorem proved by Nigam et al. [8] in Hilbert space remains true in metric space and obtained a slightly improved form of their result in metric space which is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. [4] Let T_1 and T_2 be self-mappings of a closed subset C of complete metric space X satisfying

$$\|T_1x - T_2y\|^2 \leq a\|x - y\|^2 + b\|x - T_1x\|\|y - T_2y\| + c\|x - T_2y\|\|T_1x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in C$, and a, b, c non negative reals, with $\max\{a+b, a+c\} < 1$.

Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Gupta and Badshah [3] proved the following results to obtain fixed point theorems for two mappings using functional inequality in Hilbert space.

Theorem 1.2. [3] Let C be any closed subset of a Hilbert space H and S, T: C → C be two mappings those satisfy

$$\|Sx - Ty\| \leq \alpha \frac{\|y - Ty\|[1 + \|x - Sx\|]}{1 + \|x - y\|} + \beta\|x - y\|$$

for each $x, y \in C, x \neq y, 0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ and $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Then there exists a unique common fixed point of S and T.

Theorem 1.3. [3] Let C be any closed subset of a Hilbert space H and S, T : C → C be two mappings those satisfy

$$\|Sx - Ty\| \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|x - Sx\|^3 + \|y - Ty\|^3}{\|x - Sx\|^2 + \|y - Ty\|^2} \right] + \beta [\|x - Sx\| + \|y - Ty\|] + \gamma \|x - y\|$$

for each $x, y \in C$, $x \neq y$, $0 < \alpha, \beta, \gamma < 1$ and $2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma < 1$. Then there exists a unique common fixed point of S and T.

II. MAIN RESULT

Motivated by Imdad and Ali [4] and Gupta and Badshah [3], we presume yet another extension of their results by proving a unique common fixed point theorem using notions of compatibility, weak compatibility, commutativity and increasing the number of mappings from two to six in Hilbert space.

Theorem 2.1. Let X be a closed subset of a Hilbert space H and let A, B, S, T, P and Q be mappings from X into itself satisfying $AB(X) \subset Q(X)$, $ST(X) \subset P(X)$ and for each x, y in X

(2.1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|ABx - STy\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Px - ABx\|^3 + \|Qy - STy\|^3}{\|Px - ABx\|^2 + \|Qy - STy\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|Px - ABx\|^2 + \|Qy - STy\|^2}{\|Px - ABx\| + \|Qy - STy\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Px - Qy\| + \delta [\|Px - STy\| + \|ABx - Qy\|] \end{aligned}$$

where $\|Px - ABx\| + \|Qy - STy\| \neq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ with $2\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma + 2\delta < 1$.

If (P, AB) is compatible, P or AB is continuous and

(Q, ST) is weakly compatible then AB, ST, P and Q have a unique common fixed point. Furthermore, if the pair (A, B), (S, T), (P, A) and (Q, S) are commuting then A, B, S, T, P and Q have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X.

As $AB(X) \subset Q(X)$ and $ST(X) \subset P(X)$, then there exists $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $ABx_0 = Qx_1 = y_0$ and $STx_1 = Px_2 = y_1$. We can construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$y_{2n} = Qx_{2n+1} = ABx_{2n}$ and $y_{2n+1} = STx_{2n+1} = Px_{2n+2}$
for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{2n+2} - y_{2n+1}\| &= \|ABx_{2n+2} - STx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Px_{2n+2} - ABx_{2n+2}\|^3 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^3}{\|Px_{2n+2} - ABx_{2n+2}\|^2 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|Px_{2n+2} - ABx_{2n+2}\|^2 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^2}{\|Px_{2n+2} - ABx_{2n+2}\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Px_{2n+2} - Qx_{2n+1}\| + \delta [\|Px_{2n+2} - STx_{2n+1}\| + \|ABx_{2n+2} - Qx_{2n+1}\|]. \\ &\leq \alpha [\|Px_{2n+2} - ABx_{2n+2}\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|Px_{2n+2} - ABx_{2n+2}\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Px_{2n+2} - Qx_{2n+1}\| + \delta [\|Px_{2n+2} - STx_{2n+1}\| + \|ABx_{2n+2} - Qx_{2n+1}\|]. \\ &= \alpha [\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n+2}\| + \|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n+2}\| + \|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\| + \delta [\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n+2}\| + \|y_{2n+2} - y_{2n}\|] \\ &\leq \alpha [\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n+2}\| + \|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n+2}\| + \|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\| + \delta [\|y_{2n+2} - y_{2n+1}\| + \|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\|]. \\ &\leq \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)}{(1 - \alpha - \beta - \delta)} \|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Vol. 9, Issue 4 , April 2022

$$\|y_{2n+2} - y_{2n+1}\| \leq k \|y_{2n} - y_{2n+1}\| \quad \text{where } k = \frac{(\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta)}{(1-\alpha-\beta-\delta)} < 1$$

Thus for all n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| &\leq k \|y_n - y_{n-1}\| \\ \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| &\leq k^n \|y_1 - y_0\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and X is closed subset of a Hilbert space H, there exist a point u in X such that $\{y_n\} \rightarrow u$. Consequently, the subsequences $\{ABx_{2n}\}$, $\{Qx_{2n+1}\}$, $\{STx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{Px_{2n+2}\}$ of sequence $\{y_n\}$ also converges to u in X.

Case I. Suppose P is continuous, we have

$$P^2x_{2n} \rightarrow Pu \text{ and } P(AB)x_{2n} \rightarrow Pu.$$

The compatibility of the pair (P, AB) gives that

$$AB(P)x_{2n} \rightarrow Pu.$$

Step 1. Putting $x = Px_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|ABPx_{2n} - STx_{2n+1}\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|P^2x_{2n} - ABPx_{2n}\|^3 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^3}{\|P^2x_{2n} - ABPx_{2n}\|^2 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|P^2x_{2n} - ABPx_{2n}\|^2 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^2}{\|P^2x_{2n} - ABPx_{2n}\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|P^2x_{2n} - Qx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \delta [\|P^2x_{2n} - STx_{2n+1}\| + \|ABPx_{2n} - Qx_{2n+1}\|]. \\ &\leq \alpha [\|P^2x_{2n} - ABPx_{2n}\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|P^2x_{2n} - ABPx_{2n}\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|P^2x_{2n} - Qx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \delta [\|P^2x_{2n} - STx_{2n+1}\| + \|ABPx_{2n} - Qx_{2n+1}\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using above results, we get

$$\|Pu - u\| \leq (\gamma + 2\delta) \|Pu - u\|.$$

So that

$$Pu = u.$$

Step 2. Putting $x = u$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|ABu - STx_{2n+1}\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Pu - ABu\|^3 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^3}{\|Pu - ABu\|^2 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|Pu - ABu\|^2 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^2}{\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Pu - Qx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \delta [\|Pu - STx_{2n+1}\| + \|ABu - Qx_{2n+1}\|]. \\ &\leq \alpha [\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Pu - Qx_{2n+1}\| + \delta [\|Pu - STx_{2n+1}\| + \|ABu - Qx_{2n+1}\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using above results, we get

$$\|ABu - u\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|u - ABu\|.$$

So that

$$ABu = u.$$

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Vol. 9, Issue 4 , April 2022

Step 3. Since $AB(X) \subset Q(X)$, there exists a point $v \in X$ such that $ABu = u = Qv$.

Putting $x = u$ and $y = v$ in (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|ABu - STv\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Pu - ABu\|^3 + \|Qv - STv\|^3}{\|Pu - ABu\|^2 + \|Qv - STv\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|Pu - ABu\|^2 + \|Qv - STv\|^2}{\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Qv - STv\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Pu - Qv\| + \delta [\|Pu - STv\| + \|ABu - Qv\|]. \\ &\leq \alpha [\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Qv - STv\|] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Qv - STv\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Pu - Qv\| + \delta [\|Pu - STv\| + \|ABu - Qv\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Using above results, we get

$$\|u - STv\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|u - STv\|.$$

So that

$$u = STv.$$

Now, $STv = Qv$, therefore v is the coincidence point of ST and Q . The weak compatibility of (Q, ST) implies that $QSTv = STQv$ that is $Qu = STu$.

Step 4. Putting $x = u$ and $y = u$ in (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|ABu - STu\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Pu - ABu\|^3 + \|Qu - STu\|^3}{\|Pu - ABu\|^2 + \|Qu - STu\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|Pu - ABu\|^2 + \|Qu - STu\|^2}{\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Qu - STu\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Pu - Qu\| + \delta [\|Pu - STu\| + \|ABu - Qu\|]. \\ &\leq \alpha [\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Qu - STu\|] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Qu - STu\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Pu - Qu\| + \delta [\|Pu - STu\| + \|ABu - Qu\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Using above results, we get

$$\|u - STu\| \leq (\gamma + 2\delta) \|u - STu\|.$$

So that

$$u = STu.$$

Hence z is a common fixed point of AB , ST , P and Q .

Step 5. Putting $x = Au$ and $y = u$ in (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB(Au) - STu\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|P(Au) - AB(Au)\|^3 + \|Qu - STu\|^3}{\|P(Au) - AB(Au)\|^2 + \|Qu - STu\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|P(Au) - AB(Au)\|^2 + \|Qu - STu\|^2}{\|P(Au) - AB(Au)\| + \|Qu - STu\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|P(Au) - Qu\| \\ &\quad + \delta [\|P(Au) - STu\| + \|AB(Au) - Qu\|]. \\ &\leq \alpha [\|P(Au) - AB(Au)\| + \|Qu - STu\|] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|P(Au) - AB(Au)\| + \|Qu - STu\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|P(Au) - Qu\| + \delta [\|P(Au) - STu\| + \|AB(Au) - Qu\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $AB = BA$ implies $ABu = BAu$ and $AB(Au) = A(BAu) = Au$.

Also $PA = AP$ implies that $PAu = APu = Au$.

$$\|Au - u\| \leq (\gamma + 2\delta) \|Au - u\|.$$

So that

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Vol. 9, Issue 4 , April 2022

$Au = u$.

Now

$u = ABu = BAu = Bu$.

Step 6. Putting $x = u$ and $y = Su$ in (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|ABu - ST(Su)\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Pu - ABu\|^3 + \|Q(Su) - ST(Su)\|^3}{\|Pu - ABu\|^2 + \|Q(Su) - ST(Su)\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|Pu - ABu\|^2 + \|Q(Su) - ST(Su)\|^2}{\|Pu - ABu\| + \|Q(Su) - ST(Su)\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Pu - Q(Su)\| \\ &\quad + \delta [\|Pu - ST(Su)\| + \|ABu - Q(Su)\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $ST = TS$ implies $STu = TSu$ and $ST(Su) = S(TSu) = Su$.

Also $QS = SQ$ implies that $QSu = SQu = Su$.

Using above results, we get

$$\|u - Su\| \leq (\gamma + 2\delta) \|u - Su\|.$$

So that

$u = Su$.

Hence

$u = STu = TSu = Tu$.

Therefore, $Au = Bu = Su = Tu = Pu = Qu = u$.

Hence u is a common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P , and Q .

Case II. Now suppose AB is continuous and the pair (P, AB) is compatible, so we have

$AB^2x_{2n} \rightarrow ABu$ and $ABPx_{2n} \rightarrow ABu$ and $PABx_{2n} \rightarrow ABu$.

Step 7. Putting $x = ABx_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB^2x_{2n} - STx_{2n+1}\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\|^3 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^3}{\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\|^2 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\|^2 + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|^2}{\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|PABx_{2n} - Qx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \delta [\|PABx_{2n} - STx_{2n+1}\| + \|AB^2x_{2n} - Qx_{2n+1}\|]. \\ &\leq \alpha [\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \beta [\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\| + \|Qx_{2n+1} - STx_{2n+1}\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|PABx_{2n} - Qx_{2n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \delta [\|PABx_{2n} - STx_{2n+1}\| + \|AB^2x_{2n} - Qx_{2n+1}\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using above results, we get

$$\|ABu - u\| \leq (\gamma + 2\delta) \|ABu - u\|.$$

So that

$ABu = u$.

Step 8. Since $AB(X) \subset Q(X)$, there exists a point $v \in X$ such that $ABu = u = Qv$.

Putting $x = ABx_{2n}$ and $y = v$ in (2.1.1), we get

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Vol. 9, Issue 4 , April 2022

$$\begin{aligned} \|AB^2x_{2n} - STv\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\|^3 + \|Qv - STv\|^3}{\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\|^2 + \|Qv - STv\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\|^2 + \|Qv - STv\|^2}{\|PABx_{2n} - AB^2x_{2n}\| + \|Qv - STv\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|PABx_{2n} - Qv\| \\ &\quad + \delta [\|PABx_{2n} - STv\| + \|AB^2x_{2n} - Qv\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using above results, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - STv\| &\leq \alpha [\|u - u\| + \|u - STv\|] + \beta [\|u - u\| + \|u - STv\|] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|u - u\| + \delta [\|u - STv\| + \|u - u\|]. \\ \|u - STv\| &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|u - STv\|. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$u = STv = Qv.$$

Therefore v is the coincidence point of ST and Q. The weak compatibility of (Q, ST) implies that $QSTv = STQv$ that is $Qu = STu$.

Step 9. Putting $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = u$ in (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|ABx_{2n} - STu\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Px_{2n} - ABx_{2n}\|^3 + \|Qu - STu\|^3}{\|Px_{2n} - ABx_{2n}\|^2 + \|Qu - STu\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|Px_{2n} - ABx_{2n}\|^2 + \|Qu - STu\|^2}{\|Px_{2n} - ABx_{2n}\| + \|Qu - STu\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Px_{2n} - Qu\| + \delta [\|Px_{2n} - STu\| + \|ABx_{2n} - Qu\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using above results, we get

$$\|u - STu\| \leq (\gamma + 2\delta) \|u - STu\|.$$

So that

$$u = STu.$$

implies that

$$Qu = STu = ABu = u.$$

Step 10. Since $ST(X) \subset P(X)$, there exists a point $w \in X$ such that $u = STu = Pw$, so that Putting $x = w$ and $y = u$ in (2.1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|ABw - STu\| &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\|Pw - ABw\|^3 + \|Qu - STu\|^3}{\|Pw - ABw\|^2 + \|Qu - STu\|^2} \right] \\ &\quad + \beta \left[\frac{\|Pw - ABw\|^2 + \|Qu - STu\|^2}{\|Pw - ABw\| + \|Qu - STu\|} \right] \\ &\quad + \gamma \|Pw - Qu\| + \delta [\|Pw - STu\| + \|ABw - Qu\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Using above results, we get $\|ABw - u\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta) \|ABw - u\|$.

So that

$$ABw = u.$$

Now, $u = ABw = Pw$, therefore w is the coincidence point of P and AB. The weak compatibility of (P, AB) implies that $PABw = ABPw$ that is $Pu = ABu = u$.

Hence u is a common fixed point of AB, ST, P and Q.

Now applying step 5 and step 6, we get u is a common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q.

Uniqueness.

Let z be another common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q, then



ISSN: 2350-0328

International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

Vol. 9, Issue 4 , April 2022

$$z = Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = Pz = Qz.$$

Putting $x = u$ and $y = z$ in (2.1.1), we get

$$\|u - z\| \leq (\gamma + 2\delta)\|u - z\|.$$

So that

$$u = z.$$

Therefore u is a unique common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q .

Remark 1. In theorem 2.1, If we take (P, AB) and (Q, ST) both compatible pairs, then also theorem remains true, as compatibility implies weak compatibility.

REFERENCES

1. Cirić, Lj.B., Generalized contractions and fixed point theorems, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)*, 12 (1971), 19-26.
2. Dass, B.K. and Gupta, S., An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression, *Indian Jour. Pure and Applied Math.*, 6 (1975), 1455-1458.
3. Gupta, O.P. and Badshah, V.H., Common fixed point theorems in Hilbert space, *Ultra Scientist*, Vol. 21(2) M, (2009), 439-442.
4. Imdad, M. and Ali, J., A note on a fixed point theorem in Hilbert spaces, *Thai Journal of Mathematics* 3(2) (2005), 219-221.
5. Jungck, G., Commuting mapping and fixed points, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83 (1976), 261-263.
6. Jungck, G., Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 9 (4) (1986), 771-779.
7. Jungck, G., Common fixed points for noncontinuous nonself maps on non-metric spaces, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 4 (2) (1996), 199-215.
8. Nigam, S.K., Bawa, N.P.S., Shrivastava, P.K., Fixed point theorems in Hilbert space, *varahmihir J. Math. Sci.*, 3(1) (2003), 113-118.
9. Rhoades, B.E., A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 226 (1977), 257-290.
10. Rhoades, B.E., Contraction definitions revisited, *Contemporary Math.*, 21 (1983), 189-205.
11. Sessa, S., On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point consideration, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 32(46) (1982), 149-153.